#### Ontology Metadata in NCBO BioPortal: Requirements and Solutions

Natasha Noy Stanford University



# NCBO BioPortal

 The National Center for Biomedical Ontology ( <u>http://bioontology.org</u>) is developing BioPortal,

an open-source repository of ontologies, terminologies, and thesauri of importance in biomedicine.

An early version of BioPortal is accessible at <a href="http://bioportal.bioontology.org">http://bioportal.bioontology.org</a>. An alpha version of the next release is at

<u>http://alpha.bioontology.org/</u>

 Users can access the BioPortal content interactively via Web browsers or programmatically via Web services.

# BioPortal *Is* An Ontology Repository

<ul> <li>Open repository of</li> </ul>	ontologies	in
biomedicine		

• The original set of ontologies is from the Open Biomedical Ontologies repository (OBO)

- New ontologies are being added
- Each ontology is described by a set of metadata

Browse Search					
Ontologies					
List View ht Category View					
Submit Ontology Pending Submissions	Download Musicalize Search				
• 10		Previous	1-100 of 102	▼ <u>Next 2</u>	
Name	Format	Current Version	Content Location	Action	
African Traditional Medicine	OBO	1.0.0	NCBO Library		
Amino Acid	OWL Full	1.2	NCBO Library		
Amphibian gross anatomy	OBO	1.2	NCBO Library		
Animal natural history and life history	Protege	See Remote Site	Remote	* 4 9	
Basic Vertebrate Anatomy	OWL Full	1.1	NCBO Library	* 4 9	
Biological imaging methods	OBO	1.1	NCBO Library	* A 4	
Biological process	OBO	1.144	NCBO Library		
Biomedical Resource Ontology	OBO	1.0	NCBO Library	\$ <u>4</u> 9	
BIRNLex	OWL DL	1.3.1	NCBO Library	\$ \$ Q	
BRENDA tissue / enzyme source	OBO	1.295	NCBO Library	\$ A Q	
C. elegans development	OBO	1.3	NCBO Library	\$ 4 9	
C. elegans gross anatomy	ОВО	See Remote Site	Remote	* 4 9	
C. elegans phenotype	OBO	See Remote Site	Remote	* 4 🔍	
Cell Cycle Ontology (A. thaliana)	OBO	0.9.9	NCBO Library	\$ \$ Q	
Cell Cycle Ontology (H. sapiens)	OBO	0.9.9	NCBO Library	* 2 9	
Cell Cycle Ontology (S. cerevisiae)	OBO	0.9.9	NCBO Library	* 4 9	
Cell Cycle Ontology (S. pombe)	OBO	0.9.9	NCBO Library	\$ 4 €	
Cell type	OBO	1.26	NCBO Library	\$ A Q	
Cereal plant development	OBO	1.6	NCBO Library	\$ 4 Q	
Cereal plant gross anatomy	OBO	1.56	NCBO Library	\$ A Q	
Cereal plant trait	ОВО	1.60	NCBO Library	* 2 4	
Chemical entities of biological interest	OBO	1.39	NCBO Library	\$ 4 9	
Common Anatomy Reference Ontology	OBO	1.3	NCBO Library	* 2 9	
Dictyostelium discoideum anatomy	OBO	1.8	NCBO Library	* 4 9	
Drosophila development	OBO	1.9	NCBO Library	* 4 9	

#### Example: BirnLEX

Browse Sear	ch		<u>Home</u> <u>Sign In</u> <u>Regi</u>				
Ontology Deta	ails - BIRNLex						
Visualize Se	arch						
Project Inform	nation						
Ontology Name	BIRNLex	Contact(s)	William Bug 🔤				
Content Location	NCBO Library	Home Page	http://xwiki.nbirn.net:8080/xwiki/bin/view/%20BIRN-OTF-Public/Home				
Format	OWL DL	Documentation Page					
Categories	Anatomy	Publications Page					
	Imaging						
Metadata	BIRNLex_metadata.xm	Supported Languages	English				
Keywords	neuroanatomy,	Foundry Inclusion					
	organisms, nervous		0-11-				
	system disease,		Opt-In				
	benavior, cognition,						
Koy Classos/Typo	neuroimaging	Foundry	No				
Intended Applicatio	5	Foundry	No				
Example Lise							
Description	BIRNLex is a lexically the purposes of enabl Informatics Research humans and animal n the many model orga project their additiona ontologies and throug appears in the BioPor at: http://purl.org/nbir	enhanced ontology desig ling ontology-driven data Network). Given the curren nodels, BIRNLex is curren nisms used by neuroscier al required biomedical dou gh curatorial addition of c tal is a simplified version m/birnlex/ontology/birnlex	In the provided set of the set of				
Versions							
View By Status	Current 🗾						
Indicates current version							
Version Number R	Release Date Status File	e Notes					
1.3.1 🥥	8/8/2007 Production BI	RNLex.owl (4.03 MB)					



# Major Function: Ontology Assessment



Which ontology from the repository

is appropriate for my task?

- Sources of information for the answer:
  - Ontology metadata
    - usually provided by authors
  - Computable metrics
    - can be provided by the tools in the library
  - Community-based evaluation
    - provided by other users of the ontologies

Requirements For Ontology Metadata in BioPortal

Flexible, extensible, and easy-to-change solution

• We don't necessarily have all the answers right now; not many ontology repositories exist.

Support for ontology versioning

• Any new version of an ontology in the repository can invalidate the value of any metadata field.

 Reuse of metadata across different repositories

• If we share the same metadata schema, we can exchange ontology profiles more easily (think: FOAF for ontologies).

Query access for standard tools and languages

• Use a standard mechanism rather than a proprietary solution.

# OMV in BioPortal

- We are adopting OMV as the metadata schema for ontologies
- We are working actively with the OMV Consortium on the representation
- Key features of OMV from the BioPortal's point of view:
  - support for ontology versioning
  - fields for "extrinsic" information about an ontology (references, usage reports, etc.)
  - dear separation into core and extensions

#### Maintaining Metadata through Ontology Versioning



Potentially, any part of the description can change: author, language, domain, scope, coverage, level of support, license, ...

# OMV (and BioPortal) Solution



Each metadata instance describes a specific version

# Pragmatic Considerations

- Most author-supplied metadata remains unchanged from version to version
  - copy the metadata by default, allowing users to change any part of it
- Users of the ontology (not its authors) may not come back to update the metadata they provided (reviews, projects, etc.)
  - keep reference to the specific version for which the metadata was created
  - present all the user-supplied metadata from previous versions
  - make it dear that, for example, a review was for a previous version

# Key Metadata Fields

- Provenance: author, institution, license...
- Policy for maintenance and distribution
- Domain and scope
- Key dasses
- References
  - describing the ontology itself
  - describing the use of the ontology
- Projects using the ontology

OMV Evaluatio n Extension

# Who Should Provide the Metadata?



Which ontology from the repository

is appropriate for my task?

- The only people who know the answer to these question are
  - (maybe) ontology authors
  - other users of the ontology
- Allow users to provide metadata for ontologies
  - reviews
  - ratings
  - usage reports

#### Metadata And Where It Comes From

Provided by Authors

#### Provided By Users

Provenance License Maintenance policy

References Logical consistency Quality of documentation Level of maturity Reviews Ratings Usage reports

#### Conflicting Sources of Metadata

- Authors and users can contradict one another
  - Quality of documentation?

۲

...

- References (e.g., positive and negative analyses of the ontology)
- Metadata schema must enable diversity of views on some metadata values

# Lessons (Still Being) Learned

- We must remember what the ontology metadata is for
  - helping users find the "right" ontologies
- Flexibility of metadata schema is key
- Maintenance across ontology versions is essential
- Metadata must support plurality of views and provide context for the specific values