Ontology Design Patterns and Semantic Abstractions in Ontology Integration

HACKATHON PROPOSAL

ONTOLOGY SUMMIT 2014

The Challenge

Hackathons:

□ Focus on technology

Get "down and dirty" with some solution

The Interesting Question:

Re-use of semantic content

Ontology Design Patterns and Semantic Abstraction

Ontology is about modelling reality itself

But the work is comparable to software development

An Idea:

Propose a hackathon which takes a possible set of design patterns, looks for re-usable content, looks for patterns in that content and links this all together to make (or at least describe) an application

Hackathon Proposal

Use Risk as a unifying use case for bring together several ontology patterns, look for patterns in linked data, reconcile different conceptual representations of the fundamental concepts in different ontologies

Then use this to propose / scope out a simple application

Ontologizing Risk

Risk: High level abstract pattern is well known:

Risk = Probability x Impact

Probability = percentage chance of event occurring

Impact = effect on goal or situation

Semantic Abstractions:
Mathematical Probability
Event pattern
Situations
Statistical Information (incidents, historical frequencies etc.)
Business Goals

Hackathon Outline

This hackathon will bring together a number of ontologies, ontology design patterns and high level semantic abstractions to create an ontology around the area of risk.

Perceived end goal / use case:

Extend this into a basic risk application.

- □ This would use some semantic abstraction of risk itself
- Combine events, situations, probabilities and impacts,
- □ Integrate data such as accident statistics to support a simple query and calculation application.

A possible outcome would be the specification of such an application for future development.

Hackathon Description

Create a complete ontology for the different subject areas

Linked within a common set of partitions?

Consistent world-view locally to the application

Explore extension of ODPs

Risk related Event as extension of Event; Risk related Situation v Situation

OR is it a matter of using the same ontology but in a risk context?

□ Will explore and compare both approaches;

□ consider use of partitions in articulating contexts

Uith examples

Explore usefulness of semantically primitive abstractions, partitions

Have something which can be stood up in e.g. Protégé / WebProtégé

Focus

Ontology design patterns versus high level abstractions

Extension versus re-use

□Use of ontology partitions in integration

Linked data

Applying ontologies to linked data resources

Conceptual modelling: possible use of SKOS

Understanding what makes an ontology re-usable

Hackathon Work Plan

Ontology Patterns / Abstractions

Look for ontologies in the subject areas identified

Identify usable ontology design patterns

Brainstorm semantic abstractions

Experiment with approaches to re-use, extension, cross-referencing

End point is a single integrating ontology

Application – additional steps if time

Gather a diverse corpus of data about events, incidents / accidents, news etc.

□Align these semantically within one unifying ontology

Model probability semantically and find test data for probabilities / history

Future application / perceived end result

Carry out SPARQL query against combined probability / event / impact

Returns numbers: Feed those into a simple calculation application or spreadsheet

Tools / Environments

Environment for modelling ontology
Protégé / WebProtégé / Others?

Environment for Business review / presentation?

Environment for instance data

SPARQL Querying

Calculation / spreadsheet for results

Outcomes

An understanding of the factors influencing reusability

- Ontology Design Patterns versus Semantic Abstraction
- Be able to frame concepts from different ontologies within one framework
- □ Identify what it took to make different ontologies re-usable for this application
- Conceptual: Use / usefulness of SKOS, other tools to formalize "Concept"
- Use-case Driven Ontology Integration, or how:
 - Use case drives queries
 - Queries drive ontology requirements
 - Ontology requirements drive re-use

How available data determines ontology use / re-use

Understand the integration considerations:

- Partitioning of the model concepts
- Reconciling diverse views of a concept (e.g. event)
- Linking available data to integrated ontologies

More Ambitious:

Prototype application: Risk Assessment

- Define a use case in the risk area
- Determine the queries needed to satisfy this

Scope out prototype risk application – this is the use case which drives the requirements for the ontology

The App:

What should I worry about today?