Ontology Summit 2013 Symposium:

Ontology Evaluation Across the Ontology Lifecycle

Track D: Software Environments for Evaluating Ontologies

Review and Discussion

May 2, 2013

Michael Denny (MITRE) Ken Baclawski (Northereastern U) Peter P. Yim (Ontolog; CIM3)

(v 1.0.8)

Track-D: Mission Statement

Through this track, we aim to coordinate the following:

٠

٠

٠

- provide a venue to bring together individuals and communities who can help define and advance the state-of-the-art in software and systems for evaluating ontologies
- the collection and enumeration of software environments and tools for evaluating ontologies (with emphasis on those that are open efforts and those that are publicly available)

investigations and development work (software prototyping and implementation) focused on the ontology evaluation theme, leading to interim presentations at the symposium, and possibly continued after this Ontology Summit

... this is now partially deferred to the Hackathon-Clinics Activities Team

Track-D: Work Products

Captured on our track synthesis page - see:

http://ontolog.cim3.net/cgi-bin/wiki.pl?OntologySummit2013_Software_Environments_For_Evaluating_Ontologies_Synthesis

- we mounted two virtual panel sessions, inviting stewards of exemplary software environments to share their insights – see:
 - 2013.02.14 panelists: MichaelGruninger, JeanneHolm, GavinMatthews
 [proceedigs]
 - 2013.03.21 panelists: AdamPease, TillMossakowski, TaniaTudorache, MichelDumontier, KingsleyIdehen [proceedigs]
- we designed, developed and ran the OntologySummit2013 Survey on "Software Support for Ontology Quality and Fitness" (more on the next slide)
- we provided support to the Hackathon-Clinics program team (more to report tomorrow)
- We pulled together some thoughts and insights and presented them during the Summit Synthesis-II session, and contributed those to the Communique

The Survey Form (on our purple semantic mediawiki)

Edit OntologySummit2013 Survey: Ontohub

General Exp	oloration Management	Design B	Build Validation	[edit] Views
Integration and U	se Maintenance			 Special page
	cle phase may be supporte ology. Please indicate those	-		
	ware capability further in the		-	
	ogy quality or fitness in a ph	ase is not listed,	please add it to the text	t box at the bottom of • My talk
e tab for that phase	-			 My preferences
III name of Ontohu	Ontohub			My watchlist
		-6		
scription		of		Ontohub • My contributions • Log out
Ontohub is a rep	ository engine for manag	jing distributed	heterogeneous ontol	
distributed natur	e enables communities t	o share and ex	change their contribu	Itions easily. The
neterogeneous r	ature makes it possible t	to integrate on	tologies written in va	rious ontology SEARCH
		5		57
ntohub link	http://ontohub.org			Toolbox
ntohub link ntohub home page				Toolbox
ntohub home page		, 		 Upload file
ntohub home page	http://about.ontohub.org	hub/ontohub/	Lang	
ntohub home page ntohub download p	http://about.ontohub.org age https://github.com/ontoh	hub/ontohub/ r Kutz, Christoph	Langi	 Upload file
ntohub home page ntohub download p uthor(s)	http://about.ontohub.org age https://github.com/ontoh Till Mossakowski, Oliver	hub/ontohub/ r Kutz, Christoph -bremen.de		 Upload file
ntohub home page ntohub download p uthor(s) ontact	http://about.ontohub.org age https://github.com/ontoh Till Mossakowski, Oliver ontohub@informatik.uni-	hub/ontohub/ r Kutz, Christoph -bremen.de		 Upload file
ntohub home page ntohub download p uthor(s) ontact stitutional sponsor	http://about.ontohub.org age https://github.com/ontoh Till Mossakowski, Oliver ontohub@informatik.uni-	hub/ontohub/ r Kutz, Christoph -bremen.de		 Upload file

Now continue with the next tab and answer the questions for that ontology lifecycle phase.

Summary of Survey Results

http://ontolog-02.cim3.net/wiki/OntologySummit2013_SurveySummary

Page	Discussion	Read	Edit	View history	Go	Search
Sur	rveySummary					

[hide purple numbers]

Question +	15926Editor +	COLORE ÷	HyQue +	Macleod +	NCBO_BioPortal +	Ontohub ÷	OntologyTest +	OntoQA ÷	OOPS ÷	OOR
Accept validation test sets or inputs?	Yes	Yes	Νο	Yes	Νο	No	Yes	No	No	No
Apply a style of ontological analysis to design?	Νο	No	No	No	Νο	No	No	No	No	No
Assess accuracy. correctness. and completeness of ontology terminological content?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Assess and enforce consistency and completeness of inverse	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

OntologySummit2013_Survey

a Survey of Software Support for Ontology Quality and Fitness

We are soliciting support and the responses from developers and operators of Ontology Tools, Systems and Software Environment :

See Survey questionnaire and list participants at: http://ontolog-02.cim3.net/wiki/Category:OntologySummit2013_Survey

Those who have responded include:

٠

٠

٠

.15926 Editor	Macleod	OntologyTest	OOR	SigmaKEE
COLORE	NCBO BioPortal	OntoQA	OpenLinkVirtuoso	
HyQue	Ontohub	OOPS!	RepOSE	

of particular interest to this group is that, by doing it on our psmw platform, we have captured the semantics of the responses, making it easy for us to display or query the results in the future.

Results are being displayed at: http://ontolog-02.cim3.net/wiki/OntologySummit2013_SurveySummary

For discussion today ...

- (1) What are the greatest barriers today to having

 (i) system architects/designers, and
 (ii) software engineers,
 ... employ ontology in their work
- (2) what features need to be improved/added to software tools and IDE's to take down the above barriers
- (3) what else are needed on software tools and IDEs to help improve the ontology development process and the quality of the ontology and ontology-driven applications?