

OOR-IPR mini-series session-2: IPR Issues

Joint OOR-Ontolog-NCBO-CC-IAOA-OASIS

**"Open Ontology Repository (OOR)  
Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)  
Policy and Issues"**

Panel Discussion mini-series

OOR-IPR session 2:

**Questions to ALL:  
what are our issues now?**

16-September-2010

(v 1.02)

# **A recap of issues presented by Cameron Ross earlier:**

- **Perceived issue on “Ontology Licensing”**
  - existing licenses won't meet OOR objectives
  - license compatibility
  - license proliferation
- **Perceived Issues on "Openness"**
  - can/should we block access from certain jurisdictions
  - license compatibility
  - lacking standards to enable deployment as open systems
- **Perceived Issues on "IP Provenance"**
  - vetting becomes a barrier to contributing
  - provenance of axioms may be hard to determine
  - scope of due diligence on IP is not appreciated

# **A recap of issues presented by Alan Rector earlier:**

- all attempts to determine shares of intellectual property proved both expensive and futile**
- "half open" license continues to be a major issue and cost of dealing with**
- IP is intimately related to funding models  
– in particular, who would fund completely “open” work?**

# **Issues arising from John Sowa's earlier remarks:**

- **How can we allow patents to foster, rather than hamper, creativity?**
- **Should ontologies be patentable?**
- **Should ontologies be copyrightable?**

# Questions to All participant ...

# **Are you planning to contribute to the OOR effort; if yes, what would your contribution(s) be?**

- Code (software)
- Content (ontologies, etc.)
- Infrastructure
- Architecture & design support ... etc.
- other

**If you are contributing code, what would  
be your (top 2 or 3)  
open license preferences?**

**If you are contributing content, what would be your (top 2 or 3) open license preferences?**



# **If you are planning to run an ontology repository ...**

- Will you federate with the open instance of the OOR?
- What kind of software license do you plan to adopt?
- What kind of content license do you plan to adopt?

**Should we, as a community, take a position on Software patents?**

**... if so, what position should we take?**

**Should we, as a community, take a position on Ontology patents?**

**... if so, what position should we take?**

**Any suggestion on how we can get  
“open” efforts funded ... ?**

# Resources & References:

- Details on this session can be found at:

[http://ontolog.cim3.net/cgi-bin/wiki.pl?ConferenceCall\\_2010\\_09\\_16](http://ontolog.cim3.net/cgi-bin/wiki.pl?ConferenceCall_2010_09_16)

- More on the OOR-IPR effort, see:

[http://ontolog.cim3.net/cgi-bin/wiki.pl?OpenOntologyRepository\\_IPR](http://ontolog.cim3.net/cgi-bin/wiki.pl?OpenOntologyRepository_IPR)

- Additional References & Resources, see:

[http://ontolog.cim3.net/cgi-bin/wiki.pl?OpenOntologyRepository\\_IPR#nid2HN0](http://ontolog.cim3.net/cgi-bin/wiki.pl?OpenOntologyRepository_IPR#nid2HN0)